

Cobol is the Original Safe Language

1

James K. Lowden Cobolworx

Symas Corporation

Brussels, Saturday, 1 February 2023

6:55pm UTC in Room K.3.201

Why is Safety the New Shiny?

What Means "Safe"?

Safety via Compiler

• Runtime, Compile-time

Cobol Vs. C++

Worse is Not Better



Why is Safety the New Shiny

The Fierce Urgency of Security

Old Story, True Story
Cyberattacks have focussed nontechnical minds
Security includes

- Physical security
- People (Kim Philby)
- Policy
- Enforcement
- Software

Language Safety ⇒ Security



No Language Is Safe

```
$ crash() {
          mkdir crash;
          cd crash && crash;
}
$ cd /tmp/
$ crash
Segmentation fault (core dumped)
```

Any language can exhaust resources

Any language can loop infinitely

Interpreted languages defer errors to run time



Compilers Could Help

```
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <unistd.h>

void crash() {
    static const char name[][8] = { "crash" };
    mkdir(name[16], 0777);
    chdir(name[16]);
    crash();
}

    But often don't (neither gcc nor clang)

scan-build clang -c recurse.c
scan-build: Using '/usr/lib/llvm-14/bin/clang' for static analysis
scan-build: Analysis run complete.
scan-build: No bugs found.
```



Runtime Errors, Apparently

The immediate problem "is" that it's Too Easy By DefaultTM to write security and safety vulnerabilities in C++ that would have been caught by stricter enforcement of known rules for *type*, *bounds*, *initialization*, and *lifetime* language safety.

— Herb Sutter https://herbsutter.com/2024/03/11/safety-in-context/

MITRE 2023 Common Weakness Enumeration

Rank	ID	Name	Score
1	CWE-787	Out-of-bounds Write	63.72
4	CWE-416	Use After Free	16.71
7	CWE-125	Out-of-bounds Read	
12	CWE-476	NULL Pointer Dereference	6.59



COBOL Defines Runtime Exception Policy

IBM COBOL EF (1973) had neither

- dynamic memory
- pointers

ISO COBOL 2023 Defines Exception Conditions

- Out-of-bounds Write, Out-of-bounds Read
 - EC-BOUND, 7 conditions
 - EC-RANGE, 7 conditions
- Use After Free: Impossible
 - COBOL FREE sets pointer to NULL
- NULL Pointer Dereference
 - allocation failure: EC-STORAGE-NOT-AVAIL
 - dereference: EC-DATA-PTR-NULL



Runtime Exceptions

COBOL Exception Conditions

120 Exception Conditions in 25 categories

- Fatal and non-fatal
- Raised during execution of a statement
- Include I/O, memory, execution, and computation
- EC-BOUND-REF-MOD, substring
- **☞ EC-DATA-CONVERSION**
- EC-DATA-OVERFLOW, Exponent overflow during MOVE
- EC-EXTERNAL-FILE-MISMATCH, wrong file type
- □ EC-FUNCTION-NOT-FOUND and EC-PROGRAM-NOT-FOUND, dynamic call
- EC-SIZE-TRUNCATION, Significant digits truncated in store



Runtime Exception Policy

COBOL DECLARATIVES

Each program may define *Declaratives*

• procedures to handle exception conditions

Handled specifically, or by category

Recovery from fatal Exception Condition possible with RESUME

COBOL Conditionals

Most statements have a "conditional form" that defines logic

- to handle exception conditions the statement may raise, or
- to execute when no exception is raised, because
- RESUME continues at next statement

COBOL defines which exception conditions each statement can raise

Programmer relieved of enumerating exception conditions per statement



Compile-time Policy

Nail It Down

COBOL defines

- Variables, to exact byte size and precision
- Files by type and record type
- Semantics for
 - Computation and Rounding: COMPUTE
 - Memory-to-memory: MOVE
 - MOVE converts between types
 - File operations, including OPEN
 - Character output, DISPLAY

No memset, memcpy, or stdio, or errno



Compile-time Policy

Rules for Data

RAII

- Each field has a size, and may define an initial value
- A field may have an enumerated valid domain †
- Default blanks for characters and zeroes for numbers.

Numeric types may be

- native integer or floating point (of defined size)
- machine-independent integer or fixed-point

Examples

77	A06THREES-DS-03V03	PICTURE S999V999 VALUE 333.333.
77	A08TWOS-DS-02V06	PICTURE S99V9(6) VALUE 22.22222.
77	WRK-XN-00001	PICTURE X.
77	A100NES-DS-10V00	PICTURE S9(10)
		VALUE 1111111111.

[†] Unlike other obsolete features, it is intended that interest in this facility will be reevaluated during the next revision of standard COBOL....



Compile-time Policy

Rules for Files

COBOL *language* (not library) defines I/O Statements

- OPEN
- START (seek)
- READ
- WRITE
- DELETE (record)
- CLOSE

Invalid file operations disallowed by compiler

• Cannot use random access on sequential file



Network Policy

Rules for Communication

Communication is defined by a superior system, e.g., CICS COBOL application runs as loaded inferior module Each I/O operation is defined

- Appearance
- Size
- Structure

Network definition is static

To add a phone, everyone must hang up
 Network devices can respond only to what is sent
 Permissions controlled by superior



Module Policy

Macros, not libraries

Preprocessors, not libraries, add functionality to COBOL

EXEC CICS

make COBOL records from application UI forms

EXEC SQL

make COBOL records from SQL rows

The whole application is under compiler control



A Safe Language

Safety by Definition

Because COBOL defines

- Size, initial value, and valid domain of every variable
- Semantics for MOVE and COMPUTE
- Exact numeric computation, including rounding
- Records for every I/O operation
 - File
 - Network
- File types

Therefore

- Many operations are disallowed by the compiler
- Many more runtime exceptions can be raised (and handled)



COBOL vs. C++

A scoreboard

Feature	COBOL	C++
RIAA by default	Yes	No
Field domains	Yes	No
Conversion semantics	Yes	No
Computation exceptions	Yes	No
File semantics	Yes	No
I/O record definitions	Yes	No
Declarative exception handling	Yes	No
Runtime Exceptions	120	10



COBOL is (Still) the Safest Language

A Checklist

- ✓ Memory always defined
- ✓ Checked iterators
- ✓ Checked computation
- √ I/O always defined
- ✓ Centralized and per-statement exception handling

https://herbsutter.com/2024/03/11/safety-in-context/ 2024-03-11, Herb Sutter

https://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2024/p3274r0.pdf 2024-04-09, Bjarne Stroustrup



A Big Language is Safe Language

The compiler can't check what it does not see

COBOL defines the whole application

- System interface regulated by compiler "Modern" languages limit compiler's role
 - System interface regulated by programmer
 - Function calls limit static analysis feasibility

Maybe the next modern language

Needs to be less modern